

Commissioner for Patents  
Application No. 10/757,290  
November 4, 2005  
Page 8

**REMARKS**

Claims 1-27 were pending in the above-referenced patent application as filed. In a Restriction Action dated October 20, 2005, the Examiner determined that restriction under 35 U.S.C. § 121 was proper, and required the Applicants to elect between the following groups of claims:

Group I.      Claims 1-24 and 27, related to the apparatus of a bulb, classified in class 606, subclass 2.

Group II.      Claims 25 and 26, related to the method of treating biological tissue, classified in class 607, subclass 88.

In response, the Applicants elect Claims 1-24 and 27 of Group I, without traverse. In the event Applicants have inadvertently overlooked the need to petition for an extension of time or to pay an additional fee, Applicants conditionally petition therefore, and authorize any fee deficiency to be charged to deposit account 09-0007.

Very truly yours,

ICE MILLER



Thomas A. Walsh  
Attorney No. 45,196  
ICE MILLER  
One American Square, Box 82001  
Indianapolis, Indiana 46282-0002  
Telephone: (317) 236-5946  
Facsimile: (317) 592-4844

Date: November 4, 2005

TAW:njt